

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/750,344	12/31/2003	Francis J. Manasek	057200/0121189 9476		
7590 03/21/2006			EXAMINER		
Norma E. Henderson, Esq.			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
Hinckley, Allen 2nd Floor	& Snyder LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
43 North Main Street			3761		
Concord, NH	03301-4934	DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/750,344		MANASEK, FRANCIS J.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jacqueline F. St	ephens	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how bly within the statutory mi I will apply and will expire te, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 May 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	•							
 4) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8-11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8-11 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicat	ion Papers				•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) obe drawing(s) be held ction is required if the	l in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Ser No(s)/Mail Date	,	1		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/750,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Upon further review and in light of the amendment to the claims, the election requirement is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9-11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yabrov in view of Grosse USPN 5665081.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

As to claims 2, 3, 15, and 20, Yabrov discloses an article for protection from anal soiling comprising an impervious outer layer (col. 4, lines 14-17); an absorbent middle layer 7; and a porous inner layer 1 – Yabrov discloses outer shell 1 comprises lignin paper (col. 3, lines 39-40), and the examiner has reasonable factual basis to conclude the shell 1 is liquid permeable as it covers the absorbent pad 7(Figure 3). The invention of Yabrov comprises a narrow end and a wide end that is capable of fitting over a users buttocks region (Figures 1, 2, and 8a-10c). Yabrov does not disclose a dam on the article. Grosse discloses an anal pad having a dam for the benefit of extending in the anal region to attenuate noise emanating therefrom (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pad of Yabrov with a dam as taught in Grosse for the benefits Grosse discloses.

Yabrov/Gross does not disclose an additional absorbent pad providing a dam.

The dam taught in Grosse is an integral part of the absorbent layer rather than a separate additional pad. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an additional absorbent pad to form the dam since constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claims 10, 11, and 18, Yabrov/Gross does not specifically disclose a pearshaped article in that the edges of the Yabrov invention are not rounded, which typically denote a pear or gourd shape. The fact that Yabrov does not disclose a specific pear shape (with rounded edges) is what is considered by the Examiner to be a matter of design choice, since applicant has not disclosed that the rounded edge feature solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Yabrov solves the same problem and has essentially the structure that is considered significant by the applicant, the narrowed end region and widened end region and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the straight edges in the Yabrov invention. The problem of fit and comfort is solved by the narrowed end region and widened end region, which Yabrov teaches. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the article of Yabrov with a pear shape – rounded edges, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the component.

As to claims 5, 6, and 16, Yabrov discloses an adhesive 8 on the back of the outer shell 1 (col. 4, lines 9-13) for removable attachment of the article to a garment. Yabrov does not disclose the outer shell 1 is impervious. Instead Yabrov discloses a separate impervious layer in combination with the outer shell 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yabrov to have an adhesive attached to an outermost impervious layer since forming in one piece an article, which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claims 8, 9, and 17, see Figure 10a-10c.

As to claims 13, 14, and 19, Yabrov/Grosse teaches the length of the pad is variable for men and women and takes into account the differences in genital structure between men and women (Yabrov col. 3, lines 21-26).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 3761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3761

March 15, 2006